

Seven-Step Utility Tariff-Setting Process for Sustainability

Elliott Tanos – May 2025



Objective

The objective is to establish regulatory accounting frameworks and utility tariff-setting processes that will methodically and progressively decrease subsidies, bolster financial sustainability, improve operational efficiency, and draw in capital investment, all while safeguarding the most at-risk households from the social impacts of tariff changes.



Key Takeaways

- An effectively structured utility tariff-setting <u>process</u> that fosters ongoing improvements is essential to build financial strength, enhance operational performance, and <u>attract capital investment</u>.
- Over time, a data-driven utility ratemaking process will contribute to building trust in the regulatory framework among consumers and investors, thereby attracting private investment in the utility sectors.
- Appropriate utility pricing in the water, wastewater, electricity, and natural gas industries, along with the pricing of watershed resources, is vital for the effective use and preservation of the country's resources.
- Carefully designed safety-net benefits will safeguard the most vulnerable households from the social impact of tariff reform.
- Engaging stakeholders and implementing a thorough communication strategy is essential for the success of tariff reform.



Th



Utility Tariff Comparison



Source: Global Water Intelligence, *Annual Global Water Tariff Survey*, September 2019. Several countries, including Jordan and Egypt, have increased WSS tariffs since this survey.



Source: Globalpetroprices.com, 2025. * Al generated.

Significant progress has been achieved, yet obstacles persist Massive subsidies are depleting resources



Operating Cost Coverage Ratio

Operating cost coverage ratio

World Bank, The Economics of Water Scarcity in the Middle East and North Africa, 2023.



Magnitude of WSS Subsidies by Region

World Bank, Doing More With Less, Smarter Subsidies for Water Supply and Sanitation, 2019. Seven-Step Utility Tariff-Setting Process

11

Th

Seven Step Utility Tariff-Setting Process





Uniform Step 1 System of Accounts

Implement a standardized accounting framework for water and wastewater



National Association of Utility Regulatory Commissioners (NARUC) Uniform Systems of Accounts (USOA) for Water and Wastewater Utilities



Example of NARUC USOA

Plant Account 331: Water Transmission and Distribution Mains

Transmission and Distribution Mains

This account shall include the cost installed of transmission and distribution mains and appurtenances.



The major MIS providers have extensive experience implementing USOA.

Items to be included in this account:

- 1. Air chambers
- 2. Blow-offs and overflows
- 3. Bridges and culverts
- 4. Manholes
- 5. Pipes, Fire mains
- 6. Pavement disturbed...



Benefits of Using the Uniform System of Accounts (USOA)*

- Comparability
- Financial Reporting
- Regulatory Reporting
- Internal management
- External financing requirements
- Consistency
- Effective Regulation

 * Please also see the American Public Power Association, Public Utility Accounting, A Public Power System's Introduction to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Uniform System of Accounts, 2018.



h

Calculate the utility's total annual revenue requirement



Definition of Total Revenue Requirement

The total revenues a utility is authorized to collect through its rates for its various types of service is called the **total revenue requirement**, or the total cost of service.^{*}

The total revenue requirement is determined for a period of time, typically a one-year period (known as a test year or test period).

* NARUC, *Electricity Cost Allocation Manual*, 1992.

Two Basic Formats for Determining the Total Annual Revenue Requirement*

- Cash Needs Approach
- Utility Basis Approach (RAB or Rate Base / Rate of Return)

- * American Water Works Association, *Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges*, Sixth Edition, 2012.
- * Water Environment Federation, Financing and Charges for Wastewater Systems, 2004.

Examples of Cash Needs and Utility Basis Approaches

		Governmen	t-Owned Utility	Investor-Owned Utility
Line		Cash-Needs	Rate Base/	Rate Base/
No.	ltem	Approach	ROR Approach	ROR Approach
1	O&M Expense	\$6 <i>,</i> 837	\$6,837	\$6,837
2	Debt Service	2,580		
3	Debt-Service Reserve	180		
4	Capital Improvements	1,141		
5	Depreciation Expense		1,242	1,242
6	Other Taxes			1,080
7	Income Taxes			1,150
8	Return (Operating Income) *		2,623	3,325
9	Other Operating Revenues	(78)	(78)	(78)
10	Nonoperating Revenues	(159)		
11	Net Balance From Operations	123		
12	Total Revenue Requrements from Rates	\$10,624	\$10,624	\$13,556

* Return Calculations:

Government-Owned Utility - Return on Rate Base is derived from debt-service, debt-service reserve, and other capital-related items and equates to an overall rate of return of about 5.2%. **Investor-Owned Utility** - Return on Rate base is calculated by applying an 8.0% allowed overall rate of return.

Source: American Water Works Association, Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges, Sixth Edition, 2012.

Example of the Rate Base / Rate of Return Approach

		٦	「otal
		Dist	ribution
1	RATE BASE		
2	Plant in service	\$	1,000
3	Less: accumulated deprectiation		(220)
4	Net plant in service		780
5	Construction Work in Progress		39
6	Cash Working Capital		5
7	Materials & Supplies		14
8	Prepayments		45
9	Customer Advances		(18)
10	Deferred Taxes		(195)
11	Rate Base	\$	670
12			
13	REVENUES		
14	Total Operating Revenues	\$	172
15			
16	OPERATING EXPENSES	\$	125
17			
18	NET OPERATING INCOME	\$	47
19			
20	RATE OF RETURN		7.0%



أسبوع المياه العربي السابع

The Goal is to gradually and systematically reduce the overall revenue deficit and subsidy levels*

- Excel-based modeling linking the core financial statements, and discounted cash flow analysis
- ✓ Forecasting the financial impact of utility tariff initiatives
- ✓ Sensitivity analysis
- ✓ Industry benchmarking

* Please refer to Annex 1 for a proposed format for utility forecasting.



Step 4

Cost Unbundling & Functionalizaton Separating costs between the water and wastewater services









Cost Classification

Important for cost allocation & tariff design



e.g., meters

Water COSS Cost Drivers inlcude:

- Annual volume of water consumed
- Peak water demand
- Number of customers served

Wastewater COSS

Cost Drivers include:

- Volume
- Capacity
- Suspended Solids
- Biochemcial Oxygen Demand (BOD)
- Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
- Customer-related Costs





and quantify the class

Customer Class Cost-of-Service Study (COSS) *

- The class cost-of-service study (COSS) is a detailed analysis that assigns the utility's total revenue requirement to the customer groups on the basis of **cost causation**.
- COSS are among the basic tools in the ratemaking process and are submitted as part of the major utility base rate case proceedings in the U.S. across utility sectors.
- The fundamental principle underlying the cost-of-service study is that costs should be attributed to the particular customer group(s) that cause the utility to incur such costs.
- * The COSS referenced is an embedded cost of service study that uses the accounting costs recorded on the utility's books as the basis for the study. In comparison, a marginal cost study estimates the resource costs associated with producing the last unit of output.

Customer Class Cost-of-Service Study (COSS)



Example Water COSS Summary*

Urban Water Utility**

Line No.	\$1,000	Total Cost of	DOMESTIC	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	AGRICULTURAL	
1	SALES REVENUE	\$272.000	\$107 248	\$114 851	\$47 246	\$2,655	
2	OTHER OPERATING REVENUES	3,000	1,917	693	245	144	
3	TOTAL REVENUES	\$275,000	\$109,165	\$115,545	\$47,491	\$2,799	
4	O & M EXPENSE	350,000	222,743	78,560	34,533	14,163	
5	DEBT SERVICE	150,000	95,891	34,699	12,113	7,297	** Assumptions for
6	TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT (In 4 + In 5)	\$500,000	\$318,634	\$113,259	\$46,646	\$21,461	urban water utility
7	LESS: NON-RATE REVENUES	3,000	1,917	693	245	145	in transition:
8	TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT FROM TARIFFS	\$497,000	\$316,717	\$112,566	\$46,400	\$21,317	- Population: 1 million
9							- Under-capitalized
10	COST RECOVERY TARIFFS						- NRW = 40-50%
11	WATER SALES (km ³)	230,000	140,000	55,000	25,000	10,000	- Excessive A/R
12	COST RECOVERY TARIFFS (\$/m ³) (ln 8 / ln 11)	2.16	2.26	2.05	1.86	2.13	- Under-priced
13							- DESAL included
14	AVERAGE TARIFFS CHARGED (\$/m ³) (ln 1 / ln 11)	1.18	0.77	2.09	1.89	0.27	in water supply
15							
16	TARIFF REVENUE (Deficiency) (In 1 – In 8)	(\$225,000)	(\$209,469)	\$2,285	\$846	(\$18,662)	
17	TARIFF REVENUE (Deficiency) (\$/m ³) (In 16 / In 11)	-0.98	-1.5	0.04	0.03	-1.87	
18							
19	RETURN ON RAB	-23.10%	-33.70%	1.30%	1.50%	-38.70%	
20	OPERATING COST RECOVERY FACTOR	79%	49%	147%	138%	20%	

* The figures in this table are order-of-magnitude and are presented for expository purposes. The COSS results for each utility will differ depending on its unique context.

Example: 2024 U.S. Water Utility COSS Summary

										(OTHER			
		COST OF	RI		ſ						VATER	FIRE PROT	EC	TION
	\$1,000	SERVICE	N		u		 NDUJINAL		UDLIC	UTILITIES		PRIVATE	PU	BLIC
1	Revenue from Sales	\$ 585,185	\$	386,080	\$	143,095	\$ 20,261	\$	9,350	\$	18	\$ 19,127	\$	7,255
2	Other Revenues	11,159		7,469		2,669	419		164		0	283		155
3	Total Operating Revenues	596,344		393,549		145,764	20,680		9,514		18	19,410		7,410
4														
5	Less: Operating Expenses	300,891		220,637		68,980	10,824		4,259		3	5,515		-9,328
6														
7	Less: Income Taxes	17,684		10,207		4,677	549		334		1	938		979
8														
9	Net Return	\$ 277,770	\$	162,707	\$	72,106	\$ 9,306	\$	4,920	\$	14	\$ 12,957	\$	15,759
10														
11	Rate Base	\$ 4,315,666	\$	2,610,325	\$	1,073,465	\$ 168,416	\$	65,426	\$	34	\$ 139,891	\$2	258,109
12														
13	Rate of Return, Percent	6.44		6.23		6.72	5.53		7.52		41.31	9.26		6.11
14	Relative Rate of Return	1.00		0.97		1.04	0.86		1.17		6.42	1.44		0.95

Cost Allocation Example: Water Main Plant Costs

Account 331: Water Mains & Accessories (\$ millions)

ltem	Alloc Factor	Plant Value	RES	сомм	INDUS	OTHER	PRIVATE FIRE PROTECT	PUBLIC FIRE PROTECT
12" Mains	3	\$ 694	389	207	36	13	19	31
Under 12"	4	\$2,218	1,238	605	93	37	92	154

Cost allocation factors 3 & 4 reflect the (1) customer class average consumption and (2) class peak demands placed on the different main plant facilities. This allocation approach uses the Base-Extra Capacity method.

Please see Annex 2 that provides a brief description of the Base-Extra Capacity method.

Also, please see the referenced American Water Works Association (2012) and Water Environment Federation (2004) publications.



h

Establish just and reasonable utility tariffs, recognizing the crucial affordability concerns



Utility Tariff Development - Revenue Allocation

The goal is to gradually and systematically reduce the overall revenue deficit and the customer class subsidy levels.



Utility Tariff Design

Increasing Block Tariffs (IBTs)

Jordan 2025 Water Tariffs								
Block of	(030)							
Consumption	<u>Water Tariff</u>							
0-6 m3	\$3.53 (fixed/month)							
7-12 m3	\$0.85 per m3							
13-18 m3	\$1.13 per m3							
19-24 m3	\$1.55 per m3							
25-30 m3	\$1.97 per m3							
31-42 m3	\$2.54 per m3							
> 42 m3	\$3.10 per m3							





Challenges of IBTs in reaching the poor households*

- Many of the poor households are not connected to the piped network
- ✓ The correlation between piped water use and income is low
- ✓ Poor households are more likely to share water from their connection with other households, increasing their water use in the higher IBT blocks.
- * World Bank, Doing More With Less, Smarter Subsidies for Water Supply and Sanitation, 2019.

Importance of Fixed Cost Recovery through Fixed Charges

Example: U.S. Water Rate Schedule – AQUA Pennsylvania (2025)

MONTHLY SERVICE CHARGE			MONTHLY CONSUMPTION CHARGE	(US\$/1,000 gal/month)				
Customer Charge (\$) Rate Zones 1 & 2		te Zones 1 & 2	Residential	Rate Zones 1 & 2				
Fixed (per Cus	tomer)		Up to 2,000 Gallons	\$	17.349			
Meter Size			Over 2,000 Gallons	\$	20.540			
5/8 inch 3/4 inch 1 inch	\$	23.90 41.00 69.70		Rate Zo	ones 1, 2, & 3			
1 1/2 inch 2 inch		134.20 191.10	Commercial and Public Up to 10,000 Gallons	\$	18.048			
3 inch 4 inch		383.00 625.00	Next 23,300 Gallons Next 300,000 Gallons	\$ \$	15.857 13.155			
6 inch 8 inch 10 inch		1,288.00 2,254.00 3.330.00	Next 333,300 Gallons	\$	12.040			
12 inch		4,033.00	Industrial Up to 10,000 Gallons	\$	11.731			
Unmetered	\$	97.01	Next 23,300 Gallons	\$	10.307			
			Next 300,000 Gallons	\$	4.867			
AOUA also has th	ne foll	owing water	Next 9.666.700 Gallons	\$	4.455			
rate schedules:	dby D	latas	Over 10,000,000 Gallons	\$	3.582			
- Resale & Electric Generation			Sales to Other Utilities: Rate per 1,000 gallons	\$	18.048			
 Demand-based industrial rates Off Peak/Interruptible Service 			Tank Sales: Rate per 1,000 gallons	\$	18.048			

Balancing Cost Recovery and Consumer Affordability

11



Market Segmentation Affordability / Willingness-to-Pay

MENA – Millions of People Living in Poverty **Poverty** 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 Line (\$/Day) \$2.15 22 25 26 28 30 \$3.65 67 71 69 71 73 \$6.85 200 199 195 197 199 Total 482 488 498 508 MENA Pop.

Affordability

World Bank, *Poverty, Prosperity and Planet Report,* 2024.

Willingness-to-Pay



United Nations, ESCWA, *The Middle Class in Arab Countries*, 2023.

- An alternative to tariff measures can be that targeted social measures are set to achieve equity goals and are delivered outside the water bill.
- In countries where targeted social programs for non-water services already are in place, such as for housing or healthcare, it is wise to employ the same, or similar, household data and eligibility criteria for targeted social measures for WSS services, to the extent practicable.
- The 3Ts, tariffs, taxes, and transfers can be combined to finance the WSS service requirements, e.g., property taxes and transfers to help finance wastewater system buildout. OECD, Addressing the Social Consequences of Tariffs for Water Supply and Sanitation, 2020.



RECAP

- This presentation outlines a seven-step approach to establishing utility tariffs with an emphasis on sustainability. It highlights the significance of regulatory accounting frameworks and the clear identification of the utility's cost-of-service, or revenue requirement.
- Additionally, it discusses the cost-of-service studies for different customer classes that identify the levels of subsidy required, facilitating the creation of a viable strategy to gradually reduce these subsidies.
- Furthermore, it stresses the importance of thoroughly understanding market segments that can accommodate higher utility costs, in contrast to households that may struggle to pay, to more effectively target social benefits and improve cost recovery.



Th



		Utility Revenue Requirement ("Cash Needs" approach)	Unit of	2024	2025	2026	2027	
	Line		Measure	Act	2025	Forecast	2027	
	Number							
	1	REVENUE					_	
	2	Operating Revenues						Uniform
	3	Sales Revenues	\$	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
	4		¢	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	System of
	5		Ψ	~~~	~~~			Accounts
Organizing	6	OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE	\$	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
Cost	7	CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS						for OPEX &
COST	8	Debt Service - Existing Debt	\$	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	CAPEX
Structures	9	Debt Servce - Proposed Debt	\$	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
	10		¢	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	-
	11		Ψ	~~~	~~~	~~~	~~~	
	12	PAYMENTS-IN-LIEU-OF-TAXES	\$	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	-
	13	TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT (ln 6 + ln11 + ln12)	\$	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
	14	LESS OTHER NONRATE REVENUE	\$	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
	15	TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT FROM RATES (ln 13 - ln14)	\$	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Cost
	16	COST RECOVERY TARIFF LEVELS						COSt-
	17	Amount of Electricity Sold	kWh	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Reflective
	18	Cost Recovery Tariff (ln15 / ln17)	\$/kWh	ХХХ	ХХХ	XXX	жж	Touiffe
	19	AVERAGE TARIFF CHARGED						Taritts
	20	Tariff Revenues (Ln3)	\$	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
	21	Average Tariff Charged (ln20 / ln17)	\$/kWh	ХХХ	ХХХ	ХХХ	ХХХ	
RAB	22	TARIFF REVENUE SURPLUS (DEFICIENCY)						
	24	Tariff Revenues Surplus (Deficiency) (In15 - In 20)	\$	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
approacn	25	Tariff Revenues Surplus (Deficiency) (In247 In 17)	\$/kWh	XXX	ХХХ	XXX	ХХХ	
(discuss)	29	RETURN ON RAB						
	30	RAB Value	\$	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
	31	Return Amount	\$	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
	32	Overall Rate-of-Return (ln31 / ln31)	%	ХХХ	ХХХ	ХХХ	XXX	Important
	33	SELECTED KPIs						KDIc
	34	Operating Cost Recovery Ratio	%	%	%	%	1/0	INP IS
	35	Debt Service Coverage	xTimes	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	39
	36	Current Ratio	xTimes	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	

Annex 2: Description of Base-Extra Capacity Cost Allocation Method



Annex 2: Description of the Base-Extra Capacity Cost Allocation Method

The **Base-Extra Capacity Method** is well-recognized and applied in the U.S. water industry and this allocation approach is described in the American Water Works Association text, entitled, *Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges*.

The concept underlying the Base-Extra Capacity allocation approach is that the utility incurs capital and operating costs to deliver the total quantity of water used throughout the year under average load conditions, or an average (annual) rate of use. The costs associated with the average rate of use are termed, the Base Costs.

The utility must also incur capital and operating costs to meet peak demand rates of use in excess of the average (base) use. These costs are termed Extra-Capacity Costs.

Base costs represent costs that tend to vary with the total quantity of water used plus those O&M expenses and capital costs associated with service to customer under average load conditions and include a portion of the O&M expenses of supply, treatment, pumping, and distribution facilities.

Extra capacity costs are costs associated with meeting peak demand rates of use in excess of average (base) use and include O&M expenses and capital cost for system capacity beyond that required for the average rate of use. The extra capacity cost components are subdivided into costs required to meet base and maximum-day extra demand and base and maximum-hour demand requirements.

Customer Costs are generally fixed costs associated with the number of customers served. Examples of customer-related costs include customer accounting and billing, collection activity, meter reading costs, and the investment and operations and maintenance (O&M) expenses associated with customer service lines and customer meters.

Annex 2: Description of the Base-Extra Capacity Cost Allocation Method (cont.)

The graphic below shows the cost allocation approach for selected water plant-in-service (fixed assets) using the Base-Extra Capacity method.

		<u>Extra Capacity</u> Max Max		Customer Meters &	Direct Fire Protection
Item	Base	Day	Hour	Service	Service
Source of Source Reservoir	х				
Pumping	Х	Х			
Water Treatment	Х	Х			
Transmission & Distribution					
Distribution Storage	Х		Х		
Transmission Mains	Х	Х			
Distribution Mains	Х	Х	Х		
Services				Х	
Meters				Х	
Hydrants					Х
General Plant	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

